

International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Volume 9 Number 10 (2020) Journal homepage: <u>http://www.ijcmas.com</u>



#### **Review Article**

https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.910.066

# Effects of Subsoiler on Farm Fields – A Review

S. Rathinavel\*

Department of Farm machinery and Power Engg., KCAET, Tavanur, KAU, Kerala, India

\*Corresponding author

The constraint of labour shortage resulted in modern heavy machineries which form a hard pan on agricultural field. A subsoiler plough is famous for breaking

hardpan and working at higher depths. On overview of effects of subsoiler on field

is studied. The subsoiler has better performance on improving soil properties such

as bulk density, porosity, strength and infiltration rates. The subsoiling also has its effects on root system of plants positively. The crop yields were seen positive or

no correlation on subsoiling. Among the various methods of ploughing, annual ploughing with modified types, winged subsoilers are performing efficiently than

the conventional one. On an economic point of view, fully irrigated lands and

those lands without any hardpan, subsoiling is not recommended. Many crops

### ABSTRACT

produced positive outcomes on subsoiling.

#### Keywords

Soil compaction, Subsoiling, Soil properties, Root growth, Crop yield

**Article Info** 

Accepted: 07 September 2020 Available Online: 10 October 2020

### Introduction

Subsoiler plough is mainly used as special primary tillage equipment all over the world. Subsoiling is the process of breaking the hard pan of soil layer just beneath the top soil working depth. Due to heavy machineries working on field the soil is compacted which in turn affects the infiltration of water from the surface to subsoil. Heavy machineries and tractors are a part of a reason for soil compaction. Transplanted crop fields, no till seeding fields are prone to soil compaction. Soil compaction, a form of soil degradation, is the phenomenon which occurs when the macro pores are closed and increased bulk density, soil resistance. The other name of the subsoiling are aerating, chiselling and ripping.

It had different effects on modified designs, different crops and several other varying parameters. Subsoiling has the major advantages of decreasing the bulk density and increased water storage capacity. Modern studies progresses for reduced draft and energy requirements of the subsoiler with more soil disturbances.

Application of vibratory (oscillatory) and rotary subsoilers are preferred for lower overall demand on engine power (Simon *et al.*, 2018). Repeated traditional tillage causes excessive pulverization, increased rate of mineralization, reduced soil organic matter content and aggregate stability, and also damages the soil structure (Mwendera *et al.*, 1997; Melesse *et al.*, 2009). In India the cost of operation in Chattisgarh plains found to be Rs.427 per ha (Ashish Kumar Kerketta *et al.*, 2018), whereas other regions of India may have slight deviations.

## Effect on soil properties

When the soil compaction occurs, it affects the soil properties such as soil cone index, total porosity and dry density (Adawi *et al.*, 1996). There exists a significant negative correlation between soil compaction and water content (Ahmadi *et al.*, 2015).

Subsoiling increases soil macro porosity, air permeability and unsaturated hydraulic conductivity. And there will be a reduction in soil bulk density, penetration resistance and critical degree-of-compactness. (João Carlos Medeiros et al., 2013). Also subsoiling has a significant increase on the infiltration of water (Heidari Soltanabadi et al., 2008). Subsoiling increases the water and nutrients uptake (Unger et al., 1981; Bennie & Botha 1986; Harrison et al., 1994; Burgess et al., 1998). The soil resistance on one pass and two pass subsoiling decreased by 13.3 and 26.2% respectively in the first year of study on a cotton field (Ibrahim Akinci et al.,). Also subsoiling can prevent surface soil erosion (Wang Jinlian et al., 2013).

If depth of subsoiling increases, there will be increase in resistance to upward soil movement. At and below a critical depth, soil easily moves laterally around the point, compressing the soil at the sides and the lower section of the leg.

Subsoiling can result in soil geometry of V or U, and in some cases of clay or much hardened soils, pattern may be irregular due to heavy clods. Some cases the hard pan may not breaks on subsoiling as a compacted layer may have weaker non compacted layers beneath.

## Methods of subsoiling

Autumn season subsoiling gives better outcomes as per the earlier researches. A Danish study says subsoiling in autumn has an increased yield in seven out of nine years (Jensen *et al.*, 1971). An English study says, under the conditions of high level of fertilizer application, subsoiling in autumn has increased yield on clays and loams significantly (Russell, 1956).

Alternate zone subsoiling (AS) method is more beneficial on maize, than other methods of tillage. In China, rotary tillage in spring compared among four subsoiling methods such as bulk subsoiling in autumn (BS), annual furrow subsoiling in early summer, annual ridge subsoiling in autumn, and interannual alternate zone subsoiling method (AS). As per the results the soil bulk density was alternately changed by AS, though it had limited effect on the average water storage in the soil. Observing overall, high waterholding capacity is maintained by BS and AS. The method under varying rainfall across different years can stabilize the maize yield. Under AS subsoiling method maize had a higher water-use efficiency and relatively higher production capacity comparing those other three types of subsoiling methods.

When soil disturbance is minimal, then the effects of subsoiling are less persistent (Spoor *et al.*, 1978). The effects of subsoiling will be lasting for 2 to 2.5 years on soil properties except the top soil which may compacts soon (Drewry *et al.*, 2010)

Studying the field traffic effect on the physical conditions of the soil, among other tillage systems into wheat residue stubble, best soil condition resulted is conservation tillage practice of in-row subsoiling and planting, as the practice produced the deepest hardpan depth and the lowest cone index (Raper *et al.*, 1998). In a sandy loam soil of Georgia, effect of in row subsoiling is found to increase grain yields significantly (10% increase) whereas irrigation effect was more in yield (56%) (Box and Langdale, 1984). Also subsoiling is not suitable on wet soil, which increases the wheel slip more than 25%. (Ashish Kumar Kerketta *et al.*, 2018).

Annual subsoiling resulted in reduced bulk density and lower cone index compared to biennial subsoiling, triennial subsoiling, or no subsoiling (Raper *et al.*, 2005).

Depth of ploughing holds a major role on deciding soil property changes. Soil bulk density and porosity of the some soils, differed due to depth of tillage. Experimentally, subsoiling at 35 cm depth was the optimum method to increase maize yield of maize and modulate physical properties of the soil in the North China Plain (Shaobo Wang et al., 2019). Subsoiling with modified types produces the highest depth, soil volume disturbances and also higher tractive efficiency than conventional types (Hussain Th. Tahir et al., 2018). However in other cases, the depth of tillage required disrupting compacted soil profiles, measurements such as multiple cone-index were needed. In dry land conditions, subsoiling at  $45^{\circ}$  is superior to other methods of tillage (Michael P. Pop et al., 2001).

With *fanya juus* in Ethiopia, higher tillage depths, infiltration rate, cumulative infiltration, better moisture retention and low soil evaporation were observed by winged subsoiler over traditional tillage but slightly higher dry bulk density observed in the traditional tillage (Desale Kidane Asmamaw *et al.*, 2012).

In addition, winged subsoilers had been found to reduce surface runoff volume that involves repeated passes (Mickelson *et al.*, 2001). Subsoilers with a combination of rotary tillers in a single unit are found satisfactory, (Zhao Wei *et al.*, 2013). Vibrating subsoilers are also worked out and recommended (YunXia Wang *et al.*, 2019).

## Effects on roots

There was an improved root parameters such as density, depth, and length on subsoiling. Using Paraplow (type of subsoiler with slanted legs on 45 degree), the root density of spring barley was increased significantly within the horizon (Hipps *et al.*, 1987). Subsoiling increases the depth of roots and in turn helps to withstand conditions of short term droughts. (Meredith *et al.*, 1961;Cooper *et al.*, 1969; Campbell *et al.*, 1974; Raper *et al.*, 1998). Length of the roots may increase by 13% on effect of subsoiling (Xiaomin Feng *et al.*, 2018).

Soil compactness has no direct effects on root development. But there exists an indirect effect on soil physical properties which includes porosity, volumetric water content, gaseous diffusion and hydraulic conductivity of the soil. Even the root development altered by changes in soil compactness exists, the growth of plants above the ground may be normal under the conditions of sufficient water and nutrients available to plants (Taylor *et al.*, 1991). Under artificial soil compaction studies on laboratory, the root penetration decreased (Meredith *et al.*, 1961).

Studies found that productive moisture accumulated in the soil loosened deeply is higher; especially in the subsoil. But the bulk density of subsoil decreased only when grown crops are long-rooted after subsoiling (Velykis, 2000). During a dry summer period following subsoiling, pasture dry matter production was reduced significantly. This was due to the root disturbances and moisture stress (Drewry *et al.*, 2010).

#### Effects on crops yield

Subsoiling may increase nitrogen availability thereby a positive effect on crop growth (Christian Bugge Henriksen *et al.*, 2004).

In short duration drought period, subsoiling can increase corn yield (Robertson *et al.*, 1957). Later say was depending on water supply, positive results have been demonstrated in corn (Reeves *et al.*, 1986; Beck *et al.*, 1992; Gameda *et al.*, 1994; Adawi *et al.*, 1996). Comparing rotary tillage, subsoiling tillage has increased maize yield (6.3%) (Xiaomin Feng *et al.*, 2018).

In an old established citrus orchard, subsoiling between the plant lines alters the soil physical and hydric properties. These effects of soil physical and hydric properties were related to increased fruit number and yield of the citrus orchard. There found a 31 % increase in fruit mass.

In coastal regions, subsoiling cotton fields are necessary (D. Wayne Reeves 1995). Other research says, in a cotton farm, in-row subsoiling had 22% increased yield under sandy loam soil (Mullins *et al.*, 1997). But applying one pass and two pass subsoiling, in a fully irrigated condition of a cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum L.*) field, the crop yield increased slightly which is insignificant statistically (Ibrahim Akinci *et al*). Later it was found there was no improvement in cotton yield due to subsoiling (Busscher *et al.*, 2003; Raper *et al.*, 2005).

In Iran, irrigated sunflower field on subsoiling has no effect on yield of sunflower (Heidari Soltanabadi *et al.*, 2008).

German study says that subsoiling in autumn had increased potato yield up to 14 pct (Häge 1996). On growing seasons, inter-row subsoiling in potatoes has found to slightly decrease yield previously (Friessleben, 1981). Later studies shown that subsoiling significantly reduced the percentage of malformed potatoes on an average. (Christian Bugge Henriksen *et al.*, 2004). Subsoiling with straw mulch is superior to other tillage methods for potato (Xianqing Hou *et al.*, 2018).

Subsoiling favoured the scattered sowing of wheat under other some conditions. (Kestutis Romaneckas *et al.*, 2016). With or without surface tillage, subsoiling is beneficial in wheat (Hunt *et al.*, 2004).

Sugarcane grown with cross subsoiling at 1.0 in distance recorded higher cane and CCS yield significantly, which was 24.86 and 31.72 % higher comparing no subsoiling treatment (Chaudhari *et al.*, 2018)

Pea yield has been increased up to 34% by subsoiling, under irrigated condition, and by 18-43% in dryland conditions (Greenwood *et al.*, 1990).

Studying the compaction and subsoiling effects on soybean yields, subsoiling the compacted plots, compaction effect was removed, and there is an substantial improvement on crop yield (Adawi *et al.*, 1996).

Subsoiling delays leaf senescence after anthesis, also maintains greater green leaf area and capacity of photosynthesis, which helps promoting post-anthesis DMA and grain filling (Xuefang Sun *et al.*, 2017). Some studies reveals that rainfall has effect on crop yield, but subsoiling has no effect in crops such as corn, cotton, tobacco (Vepraskas *et al.*, 1992).

In conclusion from the scientific works carried on subsoiler and its effects following points may be concluded. Subsoiler holds good at breaking the hard pan and improves soil properties, under the conditions of dry and compacted soils. Root characteristics are altered positively as a result of improved soil properties. Most of the crops gives positive yield on subsoiling except the few crops, under full irrigation which has shown no correlation with subsoiling. In wet soils and soil with no compaction, subsoiling is not recommended in economical point of view. Also there may be a failure of subsoiling operation occurs on certain soil conditions and geometry. Winged and modified types shows superior performance compared with conventional tynes. Autumn season subsoiling, in row method of subsoiling, alternate zone subsoiling are the yield promising way of subsoiling. Increased water infiltration rate, reduced runoff and soil erosion are the advantages on the environmental point of view.

## References

- Abtahi, M, *et al*,2013. Effect of subsoiler ripper in increase of range production in central of Iran (case study: Kashan plain), Pelagia Research Library, European Journal of Experimental Biology, 2013, 3(5):292-296, ISSN: 2248–9215.
- Asmamaw, D K, et al., 2012. Effect of Winged Subsoiler and Traditional Tillage Integrated with Fanya Juu on Selected Soil Physico-Chemical and Soil Water Properties in the Northwestern Highlands of Ethiopia, East African Journal of Sciences (2012) Volume 6 (2) 105-116, ISSN 1992-0407.
- Busscher W.J., *et al.*, 2002. Recompaction of a coastal loamy sand after deep tillage as a function of subsequent cumulative rainfall. Soil Till. Res., 68, 49-57.
- Chaudhari, P M, *et al.*, 2018. Research Article Effect of Subsoiling and Preparatory

Tillage on Growth, Yield and Quality of Spring Sugarcane (Saccharum Officinarum L.), Chemical Science Review and Letters ISSN 2278-6783, Chem Sci Rev Lett 2018, 7(25), 286-289 Article CS272049011

- Drewry, J. J. *et al.*, (2000) Effect of subsoiling on soil physical properties and pasture production on a Pallic Soil in Southland, New Zealand, New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 43:2, 269-277, DOI: 10.1080/00288233.2000.9513427
- Feng, X, et al., 2018. Effects of Subsoiling Tillage on Soil Properties, Maize Root Distribution, and Grain Yield on Mollisols of Northeastern China, Agronomy Journal. Volume 110, Issue 4 2018 Agron. J. 110:1607–1615 (2018) doi:10.2134/agronj2018.01.0027
- Geistl, M J, *et al.*, Principles and Processes of Subsoiling in Forest Ecosystems
- Henriksen, C B, et al., 2004. Inter-row subsoiling increases marketable yield in potatoes, Newsletter from Danish Research Centre for Organic Farming, June 2004. No. 2, 29-10-2004 http://www.darcof.dk/enews/june04/sub soiling.html
- Hipps, N A, *et al.*, 1988. Residual effects of a slant-legged subsoiler on some soil physical conditions and the root growth of spring barley, *J. agric. Set.*, Camb. (1988), 110, 481-489.
- Hou, X., and R. Li. 2018. Potato Tuber Yields in Semi-Arid Environments are Increased by Tillage and Mulching Practices. *Agron. J.* 110:2641-2651. doi:10.2134/agronj2017.10.0606.
- Hunt, P. G., P. J. Bauer, T. A. Matheny, andW. J. Busscher. 2004. Crop Yield andNitrogen Accumulation Response toTillage of a Coastal Plain Soil Mentionof a trade name, proprietary product, orspecific equipment does not constitute aguarantee or warranty by the USDA and

does not imply approval of a product to the exclusion of others that may be suitable. *Crop Sci.* 44: 1673-1681. doi:10.2135/cropsci2004.1673.

- Jiao, Y *et al.*, 2017. Effects of subsoiling on maize yield and water-use efficiency in a semiarid area.
- Jinlian, W., and Z. Manquan. 2008. Experimental study on wind erosion of surface soil in subsoiling wheat stubble fields. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering* 2008. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1002-6819.2008.9.006.
- Kerketta, A.K., Mishra, B.P., Choudhary, S. and Mahilang, K.K.S., Development of Subsoiler Suitable for Chhattisgarh Plain, Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 6(1): 1478-1485 (2018). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6373.
- Medeiros, J C, 2013. Deep subsoiling of a subsurface-compacted typical hapludult under citrus orchard, R. Bras. Ci. Solo, 37:911-919, 2013.
- Meredith, H. L., and W. H. Patrick. 1961. Effects of Soil Compaction on Subsoil Root Penetration and Physical Properties of Three Soils in Louisiana1. *Agron. J.* 53:163-167. doi:10.2134/agronj1961.000219620053 00030011x.
- Popp, M. P., T. C. Keisling, C. R. Dillon, and P. M. Manning. 2001. Economic and Agronomic Assessment of Deep Tillage in Soybean Production on Mississippi River Valley Soils. Agron. J. 93:164-169. doi:10.2134/agronj2001.931164x.
- Raper, R.L., 2005. Effect of annual, biennial, and triennial in-row subsoiling on soil compaction and cotton yield in southeastern u.s. silt loam soils, *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, Vol. 21(3): 337–343, American Society of Agricultural Engineers.
- Raper, R.L et al., 2007. In-row subsoiling: a

review and suggestions for reducing cost of this conservation tillage operation, *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, Vol. 23(4): 463-471, 2007, American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers ISSN 0883–8542.

- Reeves, D. W., and G. L. Mullins. 1995.
  Subsoiling and Potassium Placement Effects on Water Relations and Yield of Cotton. *Agron. J.* 87:847-852. doi:10.2134/agronj1995.000219620087 00050011x.
- Robertson, W. K., J. G. A. Fiskell, C. E. Hutton, L. G. Thompson, R. W. Lipscomb, and H. W. Lundy. 1957.
  Results from Subsoiling and Deep Fertilization of Corn for 2 Years1. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 21:340-346. doi:10.2136/sssaj1957.0361599500210 0030023x.
- Romaneckas, K, *et al.*, 2016. Impact of subsoiling and scattered sowing on some soil physical properties and wheat productivity, engineering for rural development, Jelgava, 25.-27.05.2016.
- Ruixiang, Z., Z. Junchang, X. Shaoping, Y. Wansheng, L. Junyao, and D. Haitao. 2009. Experimentation about subsoiling technique for conservation tillage. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering* 2009. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1002-6819.2009.6.027.
- Simon, O et al., 2018. Draughts, Power Requirements and Soil Disruption of Subsoilers, International Journal of Emerging Engineering Research and Technology Volume 6, Issue 9.
- Soltanabadi, M H, et al., 2008. Effect of subsoiling in condition of strip tillage on soil physical properties and sunflower yield, Journal of Agricultural Technology, 2008, V. 4(2): 11-19.
- Soltanabadi, M H, *et al.*, 2008. Effect of subsoiling on soil physical properties and sunflower yield under conditions of

conventional tillage, Int. Agrophysics, 2008, 22, 313-317.

- Sun, X, *et al.*, (2017) Subsoiling practices change root distribution and increase post-anthesis dry matter accumulation and yield in summer maize. PLoS ONE 12(4): e0174952. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.01 74952.
- TAHIR, H T, *et al.*, 2018. A Comparative Study of Conventional and Modified Tine Types of Subsoiler and Their Effect on Some Performance Characteristics, Journal of Agricultural Machinery Science, 14 (1), 47-55.
- Vepraskas, M. J., and D. S. Guthrie. 1992. Identifying Soils Where Subsoiling Can Increase Yields of Tobacco, Corn, and Cotton. J. Prod. Agric. 5:482-488. doi:10.2134/jpa1992.0482
- Wang, S et al., 2019. Effect of subsoiling depth on soil physical properties and

summer maize (Zea mays L.) yield. Plant, Soil and Environment, 65, 2019 (3): 131–137. https://doi.org/10.17221/703/2018-PSE

Wang Y, Osman A N, Zhang D, Yang L, Cui T, Zhong X, 2019. Optimized design and field experiment of a staggered vibrating subsoiler for conservation tillage, *Int J Agric & Biol Eng*, Vol 12, No. 1, 2019, https://www.ijabe.org/index.php/ijabe/is sue/view/76.

Wei, Z., Z. Wenchun, Z. Zhili, N. Yi, and Z. Dianzhao. 2007. Development and experimental research of combined subsoiling and rotary tilling set. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering* 2007. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1002-6819.2007.1.023.

How to cite this article:

Rathinavel, S. 2020. Effects of Subsoiler on Farm Fields – A Review. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 9(10): 554-560. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.910.066</u>